arXiv:1702.00175v1 [math.AC] 1 Feb 2017

THE FINITENESS DIMENSION OF MODULES AND RELATIVE COHEN-MACAULAYNESS

M. MAST ZOHOURI AND KH. AHMADI AMOLI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ ideals of R. In this paper, we study the finiteness dimension $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$ and the $\mathfrak{b}$-minimum $\mathfrak{a}$-adjusted depth $\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ of M, where the underlying module M is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. Some applications of such modules are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with identity of positive Krull dimension n, $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are two ideals of R and M is a finite R-module of positive dimension d. We denote by $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ the ith local cohomology module of M supported in $\mathfrak{a}$. The finiteness dimension $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \text{ is not finitely generated}\},$$

if there exists and $+\infty$ otherwise. Another formulation is the $\mathfrak{b}$-finiteness dimension of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$ which is defined by

$$f^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \mathfrak{b} \nsubseteq \operatorname{Rad}(0 :_R H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))\},$$

which is either a positive integer or $+\infty$.
Moreover, the $\mathfrak{b}$-minimum $\mathfrak{a}$-adjusted depth $\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ of M is defined by

$$\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \inf\{\operatorname{depth} M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{b})\},$$

which is either a positive integer or $+\infty$ where $\mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{b})$ denotes the set of prime ideals containing $\mathfrak{b}$.
An R-module M is called *relative Cohen-Macaulay* with respect to (w.r.t for short) a proper ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R whenever there is precisely one non-vanishing local cohomology module of M w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. In other words, M is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, where $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is the largest integer i for which $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is the least integer i for which $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \neq 0$ (see [10]). This concept has a connection with a notion which has been studied under the title of *cohomologically complete intersection ideals* in [7].
The main purpose of this paper is to examine the behavior of the notion $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ more closely. In analogy with the notation of $\mathfrak{a}(M) = \mathfrak{a}_0(M)\dots\mathfrak{a}_{d-1}(M)$ in a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, defined in [2] and [11], where $\mathfrak{a}_i(M)$ is the annihilator of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, we define the following notation for R-module M and an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R with $h := \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$, where R is not necessarily local. We set

$$\mathfrak{a}'(M) := \mathfrak{a}'_0(M)\dots\mathfrak{a}'_{h-1}(M)\mathfrak{a}'_{h+1}(M)\dots\mathfrak{a}'_d(M),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 14M10.
Key words and phrases. Cohomological dimension, Relative Cohen-Macaulayness, The finiteness dimension.

where $\mathfrak{a}'_i(M) = \mathrm{Ann}_R(H^i_\mathfrak{a}(M))$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $i \neq h$.
One question about the annihilation of local cohomology modules is that, which ideals annihilate the local cohomology modules, and the classical theorem of this subject is Faltings' Annihilator Theorem (see [6]). Faltings' Annihilator Theorem states that, if R is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring or if R has a dualizing complex, then for every choice of ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ of R, $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = f^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$. There are some improvement of the conditions of this theorem (see for example [1]). In Lemma 2.5, we shall show that if M is a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$, then $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = f^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{b})$ with $\mathrm{height}_M(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$. Theorem 2.7 which is one of our main results in this paper, let us to get several properties of $f_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ and $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ on relative Cohen-Macaulay modules. Some characterizations of $f_\mathfrak{a}(-)$ are provided via ring homomorphisms (Corollaries 2.10 and 2.12). Furthermore, we mention two classes of modules, "multiplication" and "semidualizing" for which $f_\mathfrak{a}(M) = f_\mathfrak{a}(R)$ (Corollaries 2.16 and 2.18). Finally, we end by Corollary 2.19 which shows that for a relative Cohen-Macaulay local ring R w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$, $f_\mathfrak{a}(K_R) = f_\mathfrak{a}(R)$ where K_R denotes a canonical module of R.
For unexplained notation and terminology about local cohomology modules, we refer the reader to [1].

2. Main results

As we mentioned in the introduction, in this section, we are concerned with invariants such as the finiteness dimension $f_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$ and the $\mathfrak{b}$-minimum $\mathfrak{a}$-adjusted depth $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ of M, where M is a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. Note that we do not assume that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ in general. We begin by defining the following notation in comparability with the notation of [2] and [11].

Definition 2.1. Let M be an R-module and $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R with $h := \mathrm{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$. We set

$$\mathfrak{a}'(M) := \mathfrak{a}'_0(M) \dots \mathfrak{a}'_{h-1}(M)\mathfrak{a}'_{h+1}(M) \dots \mathfrak{a}'_d(M),$$

where $\mathfrak{a}'_i(M) = \mathrm{Ann}_R(H^i_\mathfrak{a}(M))$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $i \neq h$.

Remark 2.2. Note that if R is a relative Cohen-Macaulay local ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$, then by the above notation and using [8, Theorem 3.3] we have $\mathfrak{a}'(R) = R$. Thus one deduces immediately that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}'(R)) = -1$. In addition, it follows that $f_{\mathfrak{a}'(R)}(R) = \infty$.

Recall that the Cohen-Macaulay locus of M is denoted by

$$\mathrm{CM}(M) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) : M_\mathfrak{p} \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay as } R_\mathfrak{p} - \textit{module}\}.$$

This motivate us to define the following set.

Definition 2.3. Let M be a finite R-module. Then we set

$$\mathrm{CM}(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{a}) : M_\mathfrak{p} \text{ is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t } \mathfrak{a}R_\mathfrak{p}\}$$

and we call it *the relative Cohen-Macaulay locus* of M w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$.

It is clear that if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{CM}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is such that $\mathrm{height}_M(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{CM}(M)$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.7, some lemmas are needed first.

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with* $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$. *Then $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}R_\mathfrak{p}$ with* $\operatorname{height}_{M_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}R_\mathfrak{p}) = h$ *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(M) \cap \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$.

In the next lemma, we determine the $\mathfrak{b}$-minimum $\mathfrak{a}$-adjusted depth $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ of M, where the underlying module M is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with* $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$. *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \cap \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{b})$ *is such that* $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{p})$. *Then* $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = h$.

Proof. Since M is relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$, it follows that $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{p}) = h$ and so $\operatorname{depth}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{p})$. Also, we have $\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Hence

$$\operatorname{depth} M_\mathfrak{p} + \operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} = h$$

and therefore $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) \leq h$. On the other hand, [1, Theorem 9.3.7] completes the proof. □

The above result consider just the case $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$. One may ask whether the equality $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$ holds true in general. For this, if for instance further the local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring, then we have the equality (Theorem 2.7(iii)).

Remark 2.6. For any finite R-module M such that $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) > 0$, we have the inequality $f_\mathfrak{a}(M) \leq \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$. There are some cases for which the equality obtains. For instance, part (i) of the next result.

The following result gives some nice applications of relative Cohen-Macaulayness which is one of our main results.

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with* $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$. *Then the following statements are true:*

(i) $f_\mathfrak{a}(M) = h$.
(ii) $f^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = h$.
(iii) *If R is a local ring which is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring, then*
  (a) $\lambda^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M) = h$.
  (b) $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{p})$ *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M) \setminus \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$.
(iv) *If R is a local ring and $M \neq \mathfrak{a}M$, then* $f_\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{a}'(R)}(M) = \lambda_\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{a}'(R)}(M)$.
(v) $f_\mathfrak{a}(M) = \dim(M) - \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$.
(vi) $f_{\mathfrak{a}R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p}) = f_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(M) \cap \operatorname{V}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. Part (i) and (ii) derived from the definitions directly.
(iii)(a). First, the $\mathfrak{b}$-finiteness dimension $f^\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$ is equal to h, by the assumption. Next, use Faltings' Annihilator Theorem [1, Theorem 9.5.1] to get the desired claim.

(iii)(b). Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \setminus \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then by part (iii)(a) and the Independence Theorem [1, Theorem 4.2.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) &\leq \operatorname{depth} M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} \\ &= \operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} \\ &\leq \mathrm{cd}((\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}, R/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \setminus \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{a})$.
(iv). First, we have $\mathfrak{a}'(R) = R$ by [8, Theorem 3.3]. Next, $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^R(M) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^R(M)$ by [1, Exercises 9.1.9 and 9.3.3]. Thus, the assertion follows as required.
(v). Applying [5, Theorem 3.1], we get $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \dim(M) - \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$ for each finite R-module M. Also, for each finite R-module M, $\dim(M) - \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \leq \mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ by [5, Corollary 3.2]. Thus it follows that $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \dim(M) - \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$ as M is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$.
For the last part, use part (i) and Lemma 2.4. □

Remark 2.8. By the above observations, if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)}(M)$ is not finite and also not artinian. In [3], the notion $q_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is defined as $\sup\{i : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \text{ is not artinian}\}$ for any R-module M. Since the top local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M)$ are artinian, we have $q_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \dim M$. In particular, if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a})$, then $q_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$.

Although, the top local cohomology modules are almost always non-artinian as we have seen for example in [5], we present an artinian submodule of such modules as follows.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\operatorname{height}_M(\mathfrak{a}) = h$, and $\dim_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = 1$. Then $H_{Rx}^0(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^h(M))$ is artinian R-module for some $x \in \mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. First, $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = 1$ implies the existance of $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\dim M/(\mathfrak{a} + Rx)M = 0$. Hence, we have the following exact sequence by [12, Corollary 3.5].

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{Rx}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{h-1}(M)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^h(M) \longrightarrow H_{Rx}^0(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^h(M)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Next, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{h-1}(M) = 0$ by the assumption. Thus $H_{Rx}^0(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^h(M))$ is artinian over R. □

In the remainder of this paper, we present a number of corollaries to the finiteness dimension $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ of M relative to $\mathfrak{a}$, where M is a relative Cohen-Macaulay R-module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. In particular, in two following corollaries, we characterize the behaviour of it under some homomorphisms.

Corollary 2.10. *Assume that for all integers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a ring-homomorphism $\varphi_k : R \longrightarrow R$ such that the inverse system of ideals $\{\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is cofinal with $\{\mathfrak{a}^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. If R is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$, then $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = f_{\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R}(R)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. As the inverse system of ideals $\{\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is cofinal with $\{\mathfrak{a}^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, for all i there exists j such that $\mathfrak{a}^j \subseteq \varphi_i(\mathfrak{a})R \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Thus $\operatorname{grade}(\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R, R) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{cd}(\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R, R) = \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by [4, Lemma 2.1]. Thus R is relative Cohen-Macaulay module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if R is relative Cohen-Macaulay module w.r.t $\varphi_k(\mathfrak{a})R$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, Theorem 2.7 completes the proof. □

Example 2.11. (see [9, Example 3.10]) For any Noetherian ring R of positive characteristic, the assertion of above Corollary is true because it is sufficient to take $\varphi_k : R \longrightarrow R$ as the Frobenius map.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $f : R \longrightarrow R'$ be a faithful flat homomorphism of Noetherian rings such that R be relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = f_{\mathfrak{a}R'}(R')$.*

Proof. By [9, Proposition 3.11] R is relative Cohen-Macaulay w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if R' is relative Cohen-Macauly ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}R'$. Now, apply Theorem 2.7 to end the proof. □

Example 2.13. (see [9, Example 3.13]) Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq Jac(R)$ and $\widehat{R}$ (resp. $\widehat{M}$) be the $\mathfrak{a}$-adic completion of R (resp. M). Then $\widehat{R}$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R}$ if and only if R is relative Cohen-Macauly ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$.

Example 2.14. (see [9, Example 3.14]) $R[x]$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if R is relative Cohen-Macaulay ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$.

At the end by recalling two classes of R-modules, we show that $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ if M is one of these.

Definition 2.15. An R-module M is called *multiplication* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that $N = \mathfrak{a}M$.

Corollary 2.16. *Let R be a relative Cohen-Macaulay ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ and M be a faithful multiplication R-module. Then $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$.*

Proof. Apply [9, Corollary 3.2] and Theorem 2.7. □

Definition 2.17. The R-module M is *semidualizing* if it satisfies the following:

(i) M is finitely generated,
(ii) The homotopy map $\chi^R_M : R \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, M)$, defined by $r \mapsto [s \mapsto rs]$, is an isomorphism, and
(iii) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Corollary 2.18. *Let R be a relative Cohen-Macaulay ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ and M be a semidualizing R-module. Then $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$.*

Proof. Apply [9, Corollary 3.4] and Theorem 2.7. □

Corollary 2.19. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a relative Cohen-Macaulay local ring w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ and K_R be its canonical module. Then $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_R) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$.*

Proof. By [9, Proposition 3.6], K_R is relative Cohen-Macaulay module w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$. Thus the proof is completed by Theorem 2.7. □

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Dr. Raheleh Jafari from Kharazmi University for her helpful comments.

References

[1] M. P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. 2, 3, 4

[2] N. T. Coung, *On the dimension of the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of local rings admitting dualizing complexes*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1991), **109**, 479-488. 1, 2

[3] M.T. Dibaei, S. Yassemi, *Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Manuscripta Math. **117** (2) (2005)199-205. 4

[4] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002) 3537-3544. 5

[5] V. Erdogdu, T. Yildirim, *On the cohomological dimension of local cohomology modules*, arXiv:1504.01148v2 [math.AC]. 4

[6] G. Faltings, *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **30** (1978), 473-476. 2

[7] M. Hellus and P. Schenzel, *On cohomologically complete intersections*, Journal of Algebra, **320** (2008) 3733-3748. 1

[8] L. R. Lynch, *Annihilators of top local cohomology*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012) 542-551. 2, 4

[9] M. Mast Zohouri, KH. Ahmadi Amoli, and S. O. Faramarzi, *Relative Cohen-Macaulay filtered modules with a view toward relative Cohen-Macaulay modules*, arXiv:1607.04529v1 [math.AC]. 5

[10] M. Rahro Zargar and H. Zakeri, *On injective and Gorenstein injective dimensions of local cohomology modules*, Algebra. Colloq **22** (2015) 935-946. 1

[11] P. Schenzel, *On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry, in:* Lectures at the summer school of commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, Birkha, Basel, Ballaterra, 1996. 1, 2

[12] P. Schenzel, *Proregular sequences, local cohomology, and completion*, Math. Scand. **92** (2) (2003) 161-180. 4

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, Tehran, 19395-3697, Iran.
E-mail address: zohouri@phd.pnu.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, Tehran, 19395-3697, Iran.
E-mail address: khahmadi@pnu.ac.ir